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Mosquito-borne viruses are an important cause of human and domestic diseases worldwide. As crucially important emerging pathogens, they have caused multiple, notable and unnoticed epidemics of human disease over recent decades. All mosquito-borne viruses circulate within sylvatic cycles among wildlife and forest mosquitoes and may cause diseases in rural and urban populations after spillover transmission to human and domestic animals. The aim of this study was to determine mosquito diversity and transmission of Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), dengue virus (DENV) and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) in South-eastern Tanzania. In the present cross-sectional study, a total of 1320 adult mosquitoes were collected using Biogent (BG) sentinel traps and battery-powered aspirators. In addition, a total of 31 larvae were collected using a dipping technique and allowed to hatch into adults. Morphological identification of mosquitoes showed the presence of 12 different species which were unevenly distributed among different ecological zones within the two districts. Culex mosquitoes were found to be dominant at all mosquito collection sites and represented 89.1% followed by 10.1% Aedes and 0.8% Mansonia. Aedes mosquitoes were pooled into groups of 20 mosquitoes resulting into a total of 12 pools. No mosquito pool was positive for DENV or CHIKV when Aedes mosquito pools were screened for these viruses. However, RVFV was found in two pools of mosquitoes including one of Aedes aegypti and another of Aedes pembaensis. Furthermore, when Aedes mosquito pools were screened, eight pools were positive for Flavivirus and six pools were positive for Alphavirus respectively. The positivity to Flavivirus and Alphavirus with concurrent absence of DENV and CHIKV in these mosquitoes indicates the presence of viruses other than DENV and CHIKV. Results obtained from this study show that mosquitoes in Kilombero Valley carry viruses of
serious public health importance. It is recommended that mosquito-borne viruses be screened in febrile patients presenting at points of care in order to improve prognosis.
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CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Febrile illnesses remain to be one of the common clinical presentations among person seeking healthcare in many developing countries despite a decline in malaria (Feikin et al., 2011). Malaria has remained the most reason of fever and high mortalities in Tanzanian population for many years (Mboera et al., 2013). However, despite successful malaria control and cutback in its transmission, prevalence and mortality in recent years, the number of patients presenting with fever have remained at the same level (Chipwaza et al., 2014b). Most fevers are misdiagnosed as malaria leading to overuse of antimalarial drugs and poor prognosis among febrile patients (Russell et al., 2010). Diverse aetiologies ranging from bacteria, viruses, parasites and fungi cause fever, making it difficult to diagnose febrile illnesses based on their clinical presentations (Chipwaza et al., 2014b). Due to limited diagnostic tools at the point of care in developing countries, determination of actual causes of fevers based on clinical presentation has led to underreporting of most causes of febrile illnesses other than malaria (Chipwaza et al., 2014a).

Most of the arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) cause febrile illnesses. Arboviruses are transmitted among vertebrates host through blood feeding by arthropod vectors like mosquitoes, flies and ticks (Weaver and Reisen, 2010). Arboviruses include only one taxa of DNA virus, the Asfarvirus that cause hemorrhagic febrile illness in pigs while the other arboviruses are RNA viruses belonging to Alphaviruses, Flaviviruses, Bunyaviruses, Nairoviruses, Phleboviruses, Orbiviruses, Vesiculoviruses and Thogotoviruses (Weaver and Reisen, 2010). Mosquitoes are the most important vectors in the spread of arboviral
disease in wild and domestic animals as well as in humans (Gubler, 2001). Viruses transmitted through mosquito bite are called mosquito-borne viruses. The adaptation of mosquitoes to spread various diseases depends on various factors such as feeding habit of mosquitoes, mosquito prevalence, density and distribution. About 3500 different mosquito species have been recorded worldwide (Harbach, 2015). The present study determines the mosquito diversity and transmission of RVFV, CHIKV and DENV in mosquitoes of Kilombero Valley South-eastern Tanzania.

1.2 Problem Statement and Justification

Kilombero and Ulanga districts are located within Morogoro region in South-Western Tanzania. Kilombero district has a population of 407,880 while Ulanga district has a population of 265,203 according to national census of 2012 (URT, 2014). Kilombero and Ulanga districts are located within the Kilombero river valley which is seasonally flooded up to 52 km wide at high waters (Sumaye et al., 2013; Charlwood et al., 2000). On North-western side of the valley, there is a steep escarpment of Udzungwa mountains and grass-covered Mahenge mountains while Selous game reserve is on South-Eastern side. The Kilombero valley has a diverse ecology and demography with villages extending to the margins of flood plain where rice cultivation is the principal economic activity (Sumaye et al., 2013). The valley provides breeding sites for mosquitoes and an interface for interaction between human, domestic animals and wildlife. Lwetoijera et al. (2014) reported the intense malaria transmission in Kilombero river valley. In addition, intra-epidemic current transmission of RVFV in animals has been also reported in Kilombero river valley (Sumaye et al., 2013). No report has been provided to explain the presence of Mosquito-borne viruses such as DENV and CHIKV in Kilombero and Ulanga districts.
1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 Overall objective

To determine mosquito diversity and transmission of mosquito-borne viruses in Kilombero Valley in Tanzania.

1.3.2 Specific objectives

i. To determine mosquito abundance in Kilombero Valley and

ii. To determine the infection rate of RVFV, CHIKV and DENV viruses in the mosquitoes.
CHAPTER TWO

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Mosquitoes

Mosquito are classified into order Diptera, sub-order Nematocera in Culicidae family. The family Culicidae is divided into three subfamilies including; Anophelinae, Culicinae and Toxorhynchitinae. Adult females of Anophelinae and that of Culicinae are blood feeders while those of Toxorhynchitinae feed on nectar and other plant juices (Harbach, 2007). Toxorhynchitinae are considered to have less importance in disease transmission in contrast to Anophelinae and Culicinae mosquitoes due to their feeding habit (Harbach, 2007). The Anophelinae subfamily comprise of three genera while Culicinae has 41 genera (Harbach, 2007).

2.1.1 Life cycle of mosquitoes

2.1.2 Mosquito diversity in Tanzania

Mosquito diversity in Tanzania remains unclear up to date, however a numbers of research have been conducted and some of mosquito species have been identified, such species are Anopheles gambiae, An. pharoensis, An. coustani, An. maculipalpis, An. marshallii, Culex quinquefasciatus, Cx. unnivittatus, Mansonia uniformis and M. africana (Mwanziva et al., 2011). Lwetoijera et al. (2014) reported presence of An. funestus, An. rivorulum, An. leesoni and An. arabience in Kilombero Valley. Aedes aegypti in Kilombero Valley have been reported by Mweya et al. (2015). Other species identified in Ngorongoro include, Culex pipiens complex, Cx. antennatus, Cx. tigripes, Cx. annulioris, Cx. cinereus, An. arabiensis and An. squamosus (Mhina et al., 2015).

2.1.3 Mosquito identification techniques

Mosquito species can be identified through different ways including morphological identification and DNA barcoding method. Morphological identification using
identification key is most used method where mosquitoes are identified based on observed feature on their body parts (Gillies and De Meillon, 1968). Several dichotomous key of all stages of mosquitoes have been developed for mosquito identification. However, keys for mosquito identification have also been developed (Gillies and De Meillon, 1968; Hopkins, 1952). DNA barcoding is a recent developed mosquito identification technique. The technique involves use of mosquito part as source of genetic information. Technique allows life’s discrimination through analysis of small genome segment by exploiting diversity among DNA sequences which are viewed as genetic ‘barcodes’ that are fixed in species (Allander et al., 2001). The technique is also used for identification of degraded mosquito (Krzywinski and Besansky, 2003).

2.1.4 Mosquito control

The use of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) has been the main mosquito control method in Tanzania. In recent days, there has been an increase in the household ownership of insecticide treated mosquito nets which is highly attributed to government initiatives and donor programmes to distribute nets free of charge to households or to vulnerable groups (Renggli et al., 2013). The use of indoor residual spraying (IRS) in Tanzania has been adapted to complement ITNs in epidemic-prone districts. IRS was initially limited to epidemic-prone areas of the Kagera region in north-western Tanzania (Mashauri et al., 2013). Other mosquito control measures including screening of houses have received little attention. A larger proportion of the population in rural area live in poorly constructed houses and only a few houses have windows with mosquito gauze. Kirby et al. (2009) reported the significantly effects of house design on incidence of malaria infection.

Mosquito control through larval source management (LSM) is limited some areas. So far, the use of larviciding has been restricted to Dar es Salaam. However, with current
technology, biological controls of larvae mosquito which involve *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) have been developed (Mboera *et al.*, 2014). Mosquito control methods by the elimination of mosquito breeding sites through interfering with standing water is the most important methods to reduce mosquito abundance in an area. However, individuals need protect themselves from mosquito bite through wearing of long-sleeved shirts and full-length trousers and use of mosquito repellant.

### 2.2 Mosquito-borne viruses

Mosquito-borne viruses are biologically or mechanically transmitted from to mosquitoes and animals/human. Biological transmission requires virus replication in its vector prior to transmission to another susceptible host (Kuno and Chang, 2005). Mosquito-borne viruses are either transmitted vertically, involving passage of virus from infected mosquito to male and female offspring or horizontal transfer which involves the transmission between infected male and other female however, horizontal transmission is less common (Kuno and Chang, 2005). Mosquito-borne virus and other arboviruses circulate among wild animals and cause disease spillover transmission to domestic animal/human which are dead-end host (Gubler, 2001). Virus such as CHIKV and DENV are adapted to public amplification due to their corresponding vector adaptation to artificial breeding sites, hence they now produce extensive epidemics (Weaver and Reisen, 2010). Mosquito-borne viruses include, CHIKV, DENV, RVFV, West Nile virus (WNFV), Yellow fever virus (YFV), St. Louis encephalitis (SLE), eastern equine encephalomyelitis (EEE), western equine encephalomyelitis (WEE), La Crosse encephalitis (LAC) and O’nyong’nyong virus (ONN) (Weaver and Reisen, 2010).

#### 2.2.1 Virus distribution and replication in mosquito upon infection

Naturally, transmission of mosquito-borne viruses is maintained by ability of viruses to infect mosquitoes efficiently with the spread to, and replication in salivary gland of
mosquito (Gubler, 2001). However, not all mosquitoes get infected after getting infectious blood meal, nevertheless, not all infected mosquitoes can transmit the virus. For a mosquito to be able to transmit disease, the virus must replicate in salivary glands and disseminate beyond midgut. Incubation period between taking viremic blood meal and ability to transmit infection depends on rapid virus replication and dissemination in mosquito salivary gland (Gubler, 2001). Susceptibility of mosquito to virus infection varies widely with different geographical location and even within individuals of same strain (Gubler and Rosen, 1976).

2.2.2 Rift Valley fever

2.2.2.1 Rift Valley fever virus

Rift Valley fever virus is member of Phlebovirus genus in Bunyaviridae family. The virus is tri-segmented, single stranded negative sense RNA genome, with large (L), medium (M) and small (S) segments (Fig. 1). Segment L encodes the viral RNA-RNA dependent RNA polymerase. M segment encodes four proteins including two envelope glycoproteins (G1 and G2) and nonstructural proteins 14 K and 78K. The S segment encodes for a nucleocapsid (N) protein and other nonstructural proteins (Elliott et al., 1991). RVFV has genomic rearrangement via RNA segment re-assortment and homologous recombination which are both potential in promotion of genetic diversity. Generally, there are seven genetic lineages of RVFV, having no correlation with geographical locations (Bird et al., 2007). Bird et al. (2007) reported re-assortment of RNA genome segment among viruses of Bunyaviridae family and re-assortment among RVFV strains.
2.2.2 Rift Valley fever

Rift Valley fever outbreaks have been known to occur in duration of 5 to 20 years following heavy rainfall in Eastern and Southern Africa (Ibrahim et al., 2008). Different mosquito species are involved in transmission of RVFV including, *Ae. aegypti*, *Ae. ochraceus*, *Ae. vexans*, *Ae. simpsoni*, *Ae. pembaensis*, *Cx. poicilipes*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *Cx. univittatus*, *Cx. bitaeniorhynchus*, *An. squamosus*, *M. uniformis*; and *M. africanus* in East Africa (Sang et al., 2010). *Ae. juppi*, *Ae. caballus*, *Ae. linneatopennis*, *Cx. pipiens*, *Ae. vexans*, *Ae. ochraceus* and *Ae. dalzieli* in West Africa (Fontenille et al., 1998).

In domestic animals, RVFV is transmitted either through bite with infected mosquitoes or direct contact with infected animal tissues, body fluids and fomites. In human, various transmission routes have been reported. However, they vary in their contribution based on epidemic stages. Bite with RVF infected mosquito is predominant at first stages of outbreak while direct contact with infected animal is predominant during disease amplification stage (Pépin et al., 2010). Other transmission routes include, direct contact with infected animal tissues, blood, or other body fluids and aerosols (Woods et al., 2002).
RVFV persists in an environment through vertical transmission within mosquitoes and horizontal transmission between mosquitoes and animals. Principal reservoir of RVFV is not yet known but rodents are suspected hosts (Olive et al., 2012). The disease is associated with massive abortion in animals while in human the disease is more often asymptomatic or with self-limiting, influenza-like illness characterized by fever, headache, muscular pain, vomiting and extreme weight loss. However, some patient experience complications which manifest as hemorrhages, retinitis and encephalitis (Mohamed et al., 2010).

Rift Valley fever is becoming a global problem and especially in East Africa where several outbreaks have been reported. In Tanzania, several epidemics have been reported, that occurred in Ngorongoro in 1997-1998 and more recently 2006-2007 that was reported in 25 district of Arusha, Manyara, Kilimanjaro, Tanga, Dodoma, Iringa and Morogoro (Chengula et al., 2013; Sindato et al., 2011; ).

2.2.3 Dengue

2.2.3.1 Dengue virus

Dengue virus is classified into Flavivirus in the Flavividae family (Thiel et al., 2005). The virus has icosahedral envelope organization and spherical nucleocapsid core. Viral genome is positive sense-single stranded RNA with 11kb long, encode one open reading frame which is then translated into three different structural proteins; capsid (C), Membrane/ premembrane (M/PrM) and envelope (E) proteins and seven non structure proteins; NS1, NS2a, NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b and NS5(Fig. 2) (Gebhard et al., 2011).
2.2.3.2 Dengue disease

Two fifths of world populations are at risk for dengue virus infection. About 50 million new cases are reported to occur worldwide every year (WHO, 2002). DENV infection is underreported in Tanzania. Moi et al. (2010) reported the isolation of DENV 3 from two travelers from Tanzania. Recently, massive dengue outbreak was reported in United Republic of Tanzania where 1017 individuals were infected (WHO, 2014). There are four serologically distinct but closely related DENV (serotype 1-4). Infection with any DENV serotype may result into asymptomatic or dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever/dengue shock syndrome. In addition, infection with one DENV serotype provide an individual with long time protection of same virus but short time protection to other virus serotype (Gibbons and Vaughn, 2002). However, sequential infection may increase risk for sever disease (Nimmannitya, 1993). Young children upon DENV infection they develop differentiation febrile disease that can be accompanied by rashes while adults may experience fever that is characterized by headache, myalgias, arthralgia and rashes (Guzman and Kouri, 2004). However, children and female with chronic disease such as asthma and diabetes appear to be at greater risk of DHF/DSS (Guzman and Kouri, 2004).
2.2.4 Chikungunya

2.2.4.1 Chikungunya virus

Chikungunya infection is an epidemic viral disease responsible for significant global health problem frequently in Africa and Asia (Strauss and Strauss, 1994). The disease is caused by CHIKV of genus Alphavirus in Togoviridae family (Strauss and Strauss, 1986). CHKIV has 11.8 kb long, positive sense single stranded RNA genome with two open reading frames encoding for non-structural and structural polyproteins. Non-structural polyprotein is processed to four non-structural proteins (NsP 1-4) and structural polyprotein is processed to form C, E3, 6K, E2and E1 proteins (Fig. 3) (Strauss and Strauss, 1994). There are three CHKV genotypes with different antigenic determinants including, Asian, West African and Indian Ocean (Eastern/ Central Africa) groups (Schuffenecker et al., 2006).

![The organization of CHIKV genome. Two open reading frames 5’ cap, 3’poly (A) tail and gene products. source: Solignat et al., (2009).](image-url)
2.2.4.2 Chikungunya

Chikungunya virus infection has two stages, the acute illness and persistent arthropathy (chronic illness). Win et al. (2010) observed high fever within 4-7 days, polyarthalgia, backache, headache, fatigue and at lower rate the patient experienced digestive disorders in acute disease. Rhematoid arthritis, spondylarthopathy, fever, fatigue, headache neuropathic pain syndrome, rashes are among the symptoms in persistent arthropathy (Win et al., 2010). Increased age, increased persistent symptoms and viral load are host and virus factors that have been associated with longer duration of illness (Moro et al., 2012). High viral load result into strong early production of ant-viral Ig G hence proposed to protect against chronic long-term CHIKV effect (Moro et al., 2012).

During epidemics human serve as reservoir host for CHIKV while during inter-epidemic period, monkey, rodents and birds are reservoirs (Rezza et al., 2007). There are two transmission cycles, sylvatic in Africa involving transmission between forest mosquitoes and non-human primates with probabilities of spillover to nearby village population and urban human-mosquito-human transmission (Rezza et al., 2007). Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus are main vectors in transmission of CHIKV. Before 2006, Ae. aegypti was considered to be principal vector in transmission of CHIKV (Thiberville et al., 2013b). Surprisingly, Ae. albopictus was recently identified as a second major vector (Thiberville et al., 2013b). Adaptation of the virus to Ae. albopictus was due to adaptive mutation in single nucleotide in E1 gene of CHIKV which assist enhanced viral uptake, replication and transmission (Tsetsarkin et al., 2011). However, in some places Ae. albopictus has shown remarkable adaptation to peri-domestic environments and reported to displace Ae. aegypti hence become essential vector in CHIKV and DENV transmission (Thiberville et al., 2013b).
Following Chikungunya first outbreak described in 1952 in Makonde plateau along border between southern Tanzania and Mozambique, several other outbreaks have been reported worldwide (Thiberville et al., 2013b). However, in Tanzania the disease is under reported and sometime misdiagnosed as malaria. Huge Chikungunya fever outbreak was reported in Kenya in 2004 that increased global concern of the disease. More than 1000 cases have been reported among European and American travelers from infected areas (Thiberville et al., 2013a). In addition, several CHIKV outbreaks have been reported in 2011-2013 in DRC Congo, India and Philippines which arouses again global concern of this emerging and re-emerging viral disease. Recently, there was a dramatic increased distribution of CHIKV vectors, hence, increased potential to CHIKV cases in future which will not only re-emerge in areas where it has already been isolated but also to newer areas for example the outbreak occurred which in Italy (Thiberville et al., 2013b).

2.2.4 Diagnosis of mosquito-borne viruses

Clinical signs are mostly the used diagnostic methods in most of developing countries; however, they do not provide a clear cut for the disease since a lot of diseases manifest same clinical signs (Chipwaza et al., 2014b). Virus culture remain to be gold standard tool in diagnosis of most viruses however, the technique consumes a lot of time and also needs well equipped facilities. Various serological methods such as antibody detection as well as antigen detections have been developed. In recent years, numbers of molecular techniques (PCR) have been important tools in detection of viral genome. Molecular tools are rapid, sensitive and found to be useful in both entomological surveillance as well as molecular epidemiology of diseases (Guzman and Kouri, 2004). But they are expensive and not available for clinical management.

2.2.5 Management of Rift Valley fever, dengue and Chikungunya

Chikungunya disease is self-limiting, neither has specific treatment nor vaccine. Resting and use of acetaminophen or paracetamol to relieve fever and ibuprofen, naproxen or other
non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent to relieve the arthritic component used as supportive treatments for patient with Chikungunya (WHO, 2009). Drinking plenty of fluids to replenish fluid lost from sweating, vomiting highly advisable to the patient (WHO, 2009). Prevention of the disease also needs individual prevention from Aedes mosquito bite.

There is no specific treatment develop for RVF. Numbers of vaccines have been developed to prevent the disease. The first vaccine, still in use for animals was developed by Smithburn (Smithburn, 1949). Clone 13, is a second vaccine used in RVF prevention found to be avirulent due to a large deletion in the NSs protein (Muller et al., 1995). In addition, another attenuated MP12 vaccine obtained from the virulent Egyptian strain (Caplen et al., 1985). Recently, MP12 is still being developed as a veterinary and human vaccine. However a person's chances of becoming infected can be reduced by taking measures to decrease contact with blood, body fluids, or tissues of infected animals for case of RVF and protecting themselves against mosquitoes and other blood sucking insects (Chengula et al., 2013).

Management of patients with dengue infections depends on the phase of illness including, febrile phase, leakage phase and convalescence phase. The febrile phase is maintained through reduction of fever with paracetamol, and promotion of food, drinks intake and oral rehydration solution (Kalayanarooj, 2011).
CHAPTER THREE

3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study Area

The present study was conducted in Kilombero and Ulanga districts of Morogoro Region, South-eastern Tanzania. Kilombero district is coordinating 8. 25° S and 36. 4167° E. The district is located at an elevation of 604 m above sea level and extends from middle to far south-west of Morogoro Region. It is bordered with Morogoro Rural district to the east and Kilosa district to north-east. The north and west borders are shared with Mufindi and Njombe districts of Iringa Region while it shares the border with Songea Rural of Ruvuma Region in the south and Ulanga district in south-east. Kilombero district has five administrative divisions, Ifakara, Mang’ula, Kidatu, Mlimba and Mngeta. The main ethnic groups of Kilombero district are Wapogoro, Wandamba, Wabena and Wambunga. Ulanga district coordinating in 9.0° S and 36.6667° E. The district is located at an elevation of 1009 m above sea level covering 24 460 square kilometres of which 4927 square kilometres are in forest reserve. The district is bordered to the north and west by Kilombero district, to the east by Lindi Region and to the south by Ruvuma Region. Ulanga district consists of five administrative district divisions. Of five divisions, Mahenge is situated in the mountainous portion, and the remaining four divisions. (Mwaya, Lupilo, Mtimbira and Malinyi) are in lowlands. The main ethnic groups of Ulanga district are Wapogoro, Ngindo and Ndamba. Mosquito were collected in Ifakara (Kilombero district) and Mahenge and Mwaya (Ulanga district) (Fig. 4).
Mosquito collection was carried out at the beginning of dry season in 2015. Biogent sentinel traps and battery-powered aspirators were used to collect mosquitoes. Biogent sentinel traps with CO$_2$ attractant were set during day and night in different habitats for five consecutive days per site. The battery-powered aspirators were set and retrieved between 5:00 and 19:00 in both outdoor and indoor areas for five collective days. Adult mosquitoes were collected from garages, around temporary ponds, around rice and banana
plantations, tree sheds, in houses and hospital surroundings (Fig. 5). Larvae were collected from different breeding sites such as, tree hole stagnant water, tyres, stagnant pound water and other collective plastics by using Pasteur pipettes. Larvae were collected during daytime and allowed to hatch into adults in hatching bottles. The habitat characteristics were recorded during study period for each site surveyed in a mosquito collection form (Appendix 1).

3.3 Mosquito Identification
All mosquitoes collected were immediately identified to genus and species level with the aid of a light microscope based on morphological identification keys (Edwards, 1941). Identified mosquitoes were pooled into groups of 20 based on their species, site of collection and whether they were blood-feed or not. Afterwards, RNA later was added into mosquitoes to preserve the integrity of RNA. Mosquito were then transported to Sokoine University of Agriculture for screening of mosquito-borne viruses.

3.4 Laboratory Analysis
3.4.1 RNA Extraction
Viral RNA was extracted from Aedes mosquitoes using Viral RNA Mini QIAamp kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), as per manufacturer’s instructions (Appendix 2). Briefly, samples were lysed with lysis buffer, followed by protein precipitation with ethanol. The lysate was passed through silica-loaded QIAamp Mini spin column followed by washing of RNA. The viral RNA was eluted and stored at -20 °C until use.

3.4.2 Detection of Viruses in Mosquitoes
3.4.2.1 Detection of Bunyavirus, Flavivirus and Alphavirus.
To detect viruses at genus level, extracted RNA was first converted into cDNA. Briefly, a total of eight μl of RNA were mixed with one μl of random hexamer and one μl deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dDNTP) were combined in a PCR tube and placed in a
thermal cycler programmed at 65°C for five minutes to denature the sample followed by cooling the mixture at 4 °C for one minute. To the tubes, the following components were added: two μl of 10x reverse transcriptase buffer, four μl of 25 MgCl₂, two μl of 0.1 MDTT, one μl of RNase Out inhibitor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, and California, CA) and one μl of SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, CA) and incubated in the thermocycler at the following conditions: 25°C for 15 min, 50°C for 50 min, 85°C for 15 min and 4°C hold temperature. One μl of RNase H was then added and volumes adjusted to 20 μl final volumes for reaction which was then incubated at 37°C for 20min then 10°C. Product was then used for various PCR amplifications using primers targeting virus genera (Bunyavirus, Flavivirus and Alphavirus) (Table 1). For genus amplification PCR, one μl of cDNA template, 10 μl of PCR mix, one μl of forward and reverse primers were added with seven μl of nuclease free water to a total of 20 μl. Amplification was done at denaturation temperature of 95°C for 15min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 60 seconds and 72°C for 30seconds followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10min and final hold of 4°C.

3.4.2.2 Detection of RVFV, DENV and CHIKV

Virus specific RT-PCR was done using already synthesized cDNA samples which reacted positive in generic amplification. The viral specific primers were used to amplify RVFV, DENV and CHIKIV in mosquitoes. The RVF1/ RVF2 targeting glycoprotein M gene in RVFV, D1/D2 primers amplify the structural protein of DENV and the CHIK 3 F/ CHIK 3 R primers which amplify CHIKV (Table 1).

3.4.4 Gel Electrophoresis and Visualization of PCR Product

PCR products were separated by electrophoresis, 1.5% of agarose gel, 0.5% of Tris acetic acid and two μl of GelRed (Phenix, Candler, USA) were used. Five μl of RNA sample, one μl of 6x loading dye and six μl of one kb DNA maker (Promega, Fitchburg, CA) were loaded and run at 100 volts for 40min. The agarose gel was then visualized through
ultraviolet fluorescence light by using gel documentation system (EZ Gel Doc, BioRad, USA).

3.5 Data Analysis

Microsoft office Excel 2007 program (Microsoft Corporation, Washington, USA) was used to calculate proportion of mosquito diversities.
### Table 1: List of primers used for detection of mosquito-borne viruses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence 5’—3’</th>
<th>Positioning</th>
<th>Size(bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alphavirus</td>
<td>NSP4</td>
<td>VIR2052 F</td>
<td>TGGCGCTATGATGAAATCTGGAATGTT</td>
<td>6971-6997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIR2052R</td>
<td>TACGATGTTGTCGTCGCCGATGAA</td>
<td>7086-7109</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavivirus</td>
<td>NSP 5</td>
<td>FU1</td>
<td>TACAACATGATGGGAAAGAGAGAGAA</td>
<td>9007-9032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFD2</td>
<td>GTGTCAGCAGCCCGGTTGTCATCAGC</td>
<td>9308-9283</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunyavirus</td>
<td>nucleocapsid</td>
<td>BCS82C</td>
<td>ATGACTGAGTTGGAGTTTCATGATGTCGC</td>
<td>86-114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCS332V</td>
<td>GTTCTTGTGCTCCAGGAAAT</td>
<td>309-329</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVF</td>
<td>GlycoproteinM</td>
<td>RVF1</td>
<td>GACTACCAGTCAGCTCATTACC</td>
<td>777-798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RVF2</td>
<td>TGTAACAATTAGGCAATGGG</td>
<td>1309-1327</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIKV</td>
<td>5’NTR</td>
<td>CHIK3F</td>
<td>CACACGTAGCCTACCATCAGTTTC</td>
<td>14-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHIK3R</td>
<td>GCTGTCAGCAGCTATGTCCAC</td>
<td>14-112</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENV</td>
<td>Structural protein</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>TCAATATGCTGAAAACGCGAGAAACCG</td>
<td>38-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>TTGCAACACAGTCAATGTCTTCAGGTTTC</td>
<td>455-483</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ochieng et al. (2013).
3.6 Ethical Consideration

Ethical clearance to conduct this study was obtained from the Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) of the Tanzania National Institute for Medical Research and provided with certificate number NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol.1x/194 (Appendix 3). Districts, village leaders and house residents were sensitized and asked for their permission before installation of mosquito traps in their houses or premises.
CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 RESULTS

4.1 Mosquito Diversity, and Ecology

In this study, adult and larvae of mosquitoes were collected at different sites in Ifakara, Mahenge and Mwaya. A total of 1351 mosquitoes were collected of which 43 (3%) were adult mosquitoes collected using a BG traps, 31 (2%) were larvae collected by dipping techniques and allowed to hatch into adults and 1277 (95%) were adult mosquitoes collected using a backpack aspirators. A total of 98 (7.4%) of adult mosquitoes collected were blood-fed. Based on morphological identification keys, a total of three genera including Mansonia, Aedes and Culex were identified. Furthermore, a total of 12 species of Culiciniae were identified (Table 2). Mwaya was found with the highest mosquito diversity compared with Mahenge and Ifakara (Table 2). Culex was found to be the most dominant genus representing 89%, followed by Aedes (10.1%) and the least was Mansonia (0.8%) (Table 3). However, the relative abundance of the different mosquito genera (Aedes, Culex and Mansonia) varied according to habitats (Fig. 5).
Table 2: Relative abundance of mosquito species in Mwaya, Mahenge and Ifakara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mwaya</th>
<th>Mahenge</th>
<th>Ifakara</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. quinquefasiatus</em></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. cinereus</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. tigripes</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. duttoni</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. pipiens</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. eretmopodites</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. uranotaenialenrrardi</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. africanus</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. uniformis</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ae. simpsonie</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ae. pemboensis</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>291</strong></td>
<td><strong>511</strong></td>
<td><strong>549</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Relative abundance of mosquito genera in Mwaya, Mahenge and Ifakara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Geographical coordinates</th>
<th>Elevation in meters</th>
<th>Culex</th>
<th>Aedes</th>
<th>Mansonia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mwaya</td>
<td>-0260600</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9035337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahenge</td>
<td>-0267440</td>
<td>3262</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-9132139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ifakara</td>
<td>-0244625</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9100008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1203 (89.1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>137 (10.1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>11 (0.8%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Molecular Detection of Mosquito-borne Viruses

The presence of mosquito-borne viruses in Aedes mosquitoes was detected by RT-PCR, as previously described by Ochieng et al. (2013). The expected PCR products were 243, 276 and 138 bp for Bunyavirus, Flavivirus and Alphavirus, respectively. Out of 12 blood-fed Aedes mosquito pools tested, single and multiple infections with Bunyavirus, Alphavirus and Flavivirus were observed (Table 4). Mosquito pools that were positive for Flavivirus, Bunyavirus and Alphavirus were tested for the presence of DENV, RVFV and CHIKV, respectively. No pool was positive for CHIKV or DENV. However, two pools that were positive for Bunyavirus also tested positive for RVFV (Table 4). The RVFV-positive pools included *Ae.aegypti* and *Ae. pembaensis* collected in Ifakara and Mwaya, respectively (Table 4).
Table 4: Mosquito-borne viruses in Aedes mosquitoes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mosquito species</th>
<th>Pool number</th>
<th>Alphavirus</th>
<th>Flavivirus</th>
<th>Bunyavirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ifakara</td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>±&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. simpsonie</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahenge</td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwaya</td>
<td><em>Ae. aegypti</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. simpsonie</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ae. pembaensis</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Weakly positive; <sup>b</sup>Pools also tested positive for Rift Valley fever virus.
CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 DISCUSSION

In present study, mosquito diversity in selected sites of Kilombero Valley was investigated. Culex mosquitoes were dominant group comprising 89.1% with the highest number of species among all mosquitoes collected (Table 2 and 3). In addition, Cx quinquefasciatus was the dominant species of all collected mosquito species (Table 2). The results were comparable to another study which also found Cx quinquefasciatus to be dominant among mosquito species (Calhoun et al., 2007). However, Cx. pipiens was found to be dominant species (87%) in Ngorongoro district (Mweya et al., 2015). The higher relative abundance of Cx. quinquefasciatus can be explained by the presence of favorable breeding sites in the sampling locations such as houses and garages (Fig. 5). Increased pollution has positive influence on the population of Cx. quinquefasciatus which prefer to breed on wet pit latrines, septic tanks, cesspits, drains and canals containing stagnant water polluted with organic compound waste. Cx. quinquefasciatus also breeds in pools and disuse wells used for dumping garbages (Hougard et al., 1993). Aedes group was the second most abundant group (10.1%) with high number of Ae. aegypti (Table 3). The abundance of Ae. aegypti observed could be due to their breeding adaptation in artificial plastics found to contain stagnant water. Among all collected mosquito, 8.8% of Aedes mosquitoes were collected in unused car tyres which were found in most garages around town (Fig. 5).

Mosquito species found in Ifakara, Mwaya and Mahenge are potential vectors of mosquito-borne viruses, since, RVFV was isolated from Ae. aegypti, Ae. simpsonie, Ae. pembaensis, Cx. quinquefasciatus, M. uniformis, M. africanus and Cx. pipiens (Fontenille
et al., 1998). In addition, *Ae. aegypti* are also important vectors of CHIKV and DENV. Findings from this study showed the close proximity between *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasiatus* with human population due to their adaptation in their breeding site, information which provides vital step for identifying potential areas which might influence host-vector interactions and eventually emergence of mosquito-borne virus epidemics. This study was conducted during the dry season; these areas are likely to be of encouraging conditions for breeding of mosquitoes during rainy season. However, breeding of mosquitoes does not depend only on rain season especially for *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasiatus*.

In the present study, Alphavirus, Bunyavirus and Flavivirus were detected in Aedes mosquitoes. Alphavirus were only detected in Ifakara, but not Mwaya and Mahenge. Flavivirus were detected in Aedes mosquitoes at all three sampling locations including Mwaya, Mahenge and Ifakara. Bunyavirus were detected in Aedes mosquitoes of Ifakara and Mwaya. RVFV was detected in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. pemboensis* (Table 4). These mosquitoes were collected in banana farms and garages indicating their close proximity to humans thereby increasing the probability of RVFV transmission. A recent study reported a post-epidemic and transmission of RVFV in livestock population in Kilombero (Sumaye et al., 2013), giving a clue RVF is one of febrile illnesses agent in Kilombero valley. Research conducted in Kilosa has shown the circulation of DENV and CHIKV in children (Chipwaza et al., 2014b).

Results of this study indicate the possibility of existence of other mosquito-borne viruses other than DENV, CHIKV and RVFV. This is due to the fact that CHIKV and DENV could not be detected in Aedes mosquitoes that tested positive for Alphavirus and
Flavivirus. The study showed mix infections of viruses in mosquitoes (Table 4). Therefore, this particular study provides an insight to the health personnel and to government on involving virus diagnoses so as to have clear information on mosquito-borne virus infections. In addition, it also encourages the active disease surveillance in both host and vectors to raise public awareness on intra-endemic infection which is mostly asymptomatic or misdiagnosed for other disease.
CHAPTER SIX

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

In the present study, Culex, Aedes and Mansonia genera were identified. Culex represented the major proportion followed with Aedes and Mansonia genera.

Screening of mosquito-borne viruses in Aedes mosquitoes the present study showed the presence of Alphavirus, Bunyavirus and Flavivirus. However, RVFV but not DENV and CHIKV was detected in Aedes mosquitoes. This indicated the presence of other Flaviviruses and Alphaviruses in Aedes mosquitoes other than DENV and CHIKV.

6.2 Recommendations

i. This preliminary study raises attention to health authorities in Tanzania to focus on testing for the presence of mosquito-borne viruses in febrile patients in order to establish effective preventive and control measures. Health care personnel should take into account mosquito-borne viruses in their differential diagnoses in febrile individuals. This may reduce the misuse of antimalarial drugs and thus lead to better prognosis of febrile individuals.

ii. The study recommends further investigation should be done on mosquito-borne virus in all mosquito species as well as in human population of Kilombero river basin and Tanzania as a whole. This would provide clear information on vector abundance as well as virus load.

iii. The study suggests the application of Molecular epidemiology to viruses and vector competence to have an insight into the dynamics of transmission as well as evolutionary trends of the viruses.
iv. Environmental factors favoring vector abundance were identified such as polluted water bodies for Culex and manmade water collection containers for Aedes. The study recommends education to Kilombero community on proper ways of mosquito control.
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## APPENDICES

### Appendix 1: Mosquito collection form

Country: 
Collection site:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection No.</th>
<th>Longitude/latitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Locality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOPOGRAPHY**
- Mountain
- Hill
- Valley
- Plateau
- Plain

**ENVIRO. MODIFIERS**
- Primary
- Secondary
- Palm
- plantation

**COL. METHOD**

**ELEVATION**

**LARVAL HABITAT**
- Pond lake
- Ground pool
- Flood pool
- Marsh
- Stream margin
- Stream pool
- Pit
- Tree hole
- Fallen leaf

**SITE DIMENSION**

**WATER**
- Permanent
- Semi-permanent
- Temporarily
  - Ph ________
  - Conductivity ___
  - Temperature ___

**WATER MOVEMENT**
- Standing
- Slow
- Moderate flow
- Fast

**TURBIDITY**
- Clear
- Turbid
- Polluted
- Colored

**SHADE**
- None
- Partial
- heavy
Appendix 2: Purification of viral RNA (Spin Protocol)

Pre-prepared with carrier RNA, the AVL buffer (560 µl) was added to 140 µl of pre-homogenized whole mosquito supernatant in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. The mixture was then vortexed for 15 seconds and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes for complete viral particle lysis followed by centrifugation at 6 000 x g for 10 seconds in order to remove drops in the lid. The mixture was added with 500 µl of absolute ethanol (98%) then mixed by pulse-vortexing for 15 seconds and centrifugation at 6 000 x g for 10 seconds to remove drops from inside lid.

The binding of RNA to the column was done by the addition of 630 µl of sample mixture to the QIAamp Min column placed in two ml collection tube. The mixture was then centrifuged at 6 000 x g for a minute then the collection tube with filtrate was discarded the step which was twice done.

Afterwards, the bounded nucleic acid was washed by adding buffer AW1 to the column and centrifuged at 6 000 x g for one minute. The filtrate was discarded and the column was placed in to a new collection tube. The second wash was done by addition of 500 µl of buffer AW2 and centrifuged at 20 000 x g for three min and the collection was discarded.

Finally, the bounded nucleic acid was eluted into new 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube by the addition of 60 µl AVE buffer into the QIAamp Min column and centrifuged at 6 000 x g for one minute. The filtrate (viral RNA) was then stored at -20 °C for further amplification.
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